and delayed on such grounds if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) whether it is a fact that Municipal Corporation of Delhi have extended building by-laws allowing excess coverage upto 20 per cent per floor against payment of fee, if so, what are the details thereof and fees fixed for such coverage?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir. The Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) have reported that in the case of built up properties allotted by the Ministry of Rehabilitation to the displaced persons, the building plans for additions/alterations in the buildup properties are sanctioned if it is established that the property was allotted as builtup property. However, in case of unauthorised construction after allotment not covered by building bye-laws, 1983, the applicants are requested by MCD to remove such unauthorised additions by MCD. If the applicants do not have any plans or records as proof of existing structures, they are asked to submit the assessment report of the House Tax Department to ascertain the period of construction of such buildings and there after the building plans are considered for sanction on merits.

(b) Does not arise in view of the reply to part (a).

(c) A notification was issued on 13-12-90 to amend appendix (Q) of the building bye-laws for compounding excess coverage upto 20 per cent per floor (upto a maximum of 450 sq. feet) and infringment of set-back upto a maximum of 2 feets on payment of compounding fee to be prescribed by the Commissioner. The extent of regularisation of excess coverage was to a maximum of 10 per cent and for infringment of set-back, it was 1 foot, for future constructions. These amendments were not applicable to the District Centres, Community Centres and other Commercial Centres planned by DDA and MCD.

Delhi Administration proposes to rescind the Notification and objections from the public in regard to the proposal, if any have been invited by 29-3-1992.

Post of Principal Commissioner in DDA

2683. SHRI P. UPENDRA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a post of Principal Commissioner has been created in the D.D.A.;

(b) whether this post is lying vacant from August, 1991; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The post is vacant since 2nd Sept., 91.

(c) The officer who was holding the post was repatriated to Delhi Administration. Orders placing an officer against the post of Principal Commissioner, have however, been issued recently.

Investment on National Capital Region

2684. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to invest about Rs. 2840 crores for the National Capital Region in the Eighth Five Year Plan period;

(b) if so, the details of the NCR towns which are proposed to be taken up during the Eighth Plan period;

(c) whether the Planning Commission has since cleared the proposal to invest Rs. 2840 crores; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMEN (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) The NCR Planning Board has submitted investment proposals amounting to Rs. 2840 crores for the 8th Five Year Plan for consideration of the Planning Commission.

(b) The proposals of the Board, *inter- alia, include development of* 8 priority towns|complexes (Panipat, Rohtak, Palwal, Rewari-Dharuhera-Bhiwadi Complex, Meerut, Hapur, Bulandsher-Khurja Complex & Alwar), six DMA towns (Faridabad-Ballabhgarh, Bahadurgarh, Gurgaon. Ghaziabad-Loni, NOIDA and Kundli) and five countermegnet Areas (Hissar, Patiala, Barilly, Gwalior and Kota).

(c) and (d) The Eighth Plan is yet to be finalised by the Planning Commission.

Revival Plan for National Projects Construction Corporation

@2685. SHRI N. E. BALARAM: SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA:

Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the joint employees forum, of the National Project. Construction Corporation Limited has submitted a revival plan for the company; and

(b) if so. the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): (a) and (b) The revival plan submitted by Joint Employees Forum of National Projects Construction Corporation Limited broadly seeks realisation of an amount of Rs. 24 crores and award of new works worth Rs. 150 crores with Government assistance by March, 1992; increase in cash credit limit by Rs. 20 crores till March, 1993; structural adjustment in organisational set up and setting up of a governing body comprising of representatives of employees to manage the affairs of the Corporation through a Board comprising of technical and professional managers.

Reopening of stationery office

2686. SHRI RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1580, given in the Rajya Sabha on the 6th December, 1991 and state:

(a) whether Government have since taken a decision to restart the functioning of Government of India Stationery Office; and

(b) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Revival Plan for NPCC

2687. SHRI P. UPENDRA: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the employees of the National Projects Construction Corporation have submitted a viability plan for the revival of the company by proposing to run it through worker's cooperative and by hiring professional managers to run the company; and

(b) if so what is the reaction of Government to the suggestion and what progress has been made in regard to the viability revival?

@Previously Unstarred Question 1273, transferred from the 5th March, 1992.